AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.
P.O. Box 1175 • Lewisville, TX 75067 • Phone: (972) 492-1400 • Toll-Free: (866) 311-9940 • Fax: (972) 492-1402
407-2B Holford's Prairie Rd.• Lewisville, TX 75056

STACK SAMPLING REPORT
FOR
MERCURY TESTING
ON THE
HOLCIM (TEXAS) LP
MIDLOTHIAN PLANT – MIDLOTHIAN, TEXAS
KILN NO. 2
DESULPHURIZATION SCRUBBER INLET DUCT AND STACK

PROJECT NO. 07-043B

OCTOBER 2007

PREPARED FOR:
HOLCIM (TEXAS) LP
1800 DOVE LANE
MIDLOTHIAN, TEXAS 76065

PREPARED BY:
AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.
P.O. BOX 1175
LEWISVILLE, TEXAS 75067

(Total Number of Pages: 953)

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

TABLES

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

FIGURES

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Air Sampling Associates, Inc. of Lewisville, Texas conducted stack testing at the Holcim (Texas) LP, Midlothian Plant, located in Midlothian, Texas during the week of October 1, 2007. The purpose of the stack testing was to determine the amount of mercury being emitted to the atmosphere via the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack and to determine the removal efficiency of mercury by the Desulphurization Scrubber on Kiln No. 2. Set-up and safety training were conducted on October 1, 2007; field recovery and stratification testing were conducted on October 3, 2007; Raw Mill On testing was conducted on October 4, 2007; and Raw Mill Off testing was conducted on October 5, 2007.

The sampling team consisted of Mr. Bill Mullins, Mr. Bill Hefley, Mr. Patrick Selakovich, and Mr. John Stanley. Mr. Mullins was the test team leader.

Dr. Laura Kinner with Emission Monitoring, Inc. was the Project Manager providing on-site coordination of the testing with plant personnel. Mr. Philip Dufresne with Ohio Lumex Company, Inc. provided on-site analyses of the EPA Draft Method 30B samples. Mr. Gerard Van der Jagt with Frontier GeoSciences, Inc. provided on-site sampling for the FAMS testing.

The sampling followed the procedures set forth in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 60 (40CFR60), Appendix A, Test Methods 1, 2, 3A, 4, and 6C; EPA Draft Method 30B; and Flue Gas Adsorbent Mercury Speciation (FAMS) using multimedia adsorbent tubes. Results are presented in Tables 1 through 12 on pages 5 through 12.

Ten runs total were collected at two operating conditions for the kiln. Since the plant was experiencing operational difficulties, it was decided to sample the Recovery Tests

in the same manner as the other tests, i.e. by taking flow rate and FAMS tests. Five runs were collected during the first operating condition, which was with the Raw Mill On. Five runs were collected during the second operating condition, which was with the Raw Mill Off.

Prior to testing, a stratification test was performed at the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack. Sulfur dioxide was used as a surrogate for mercury to verify the absence of stratification across the stack. A twelve point traverse was conducted with the reference method analyzer sampling system. The sulfur dioxide content from each traverse point was normalized for temporal variation and compared to a stationary probe, the kiln CEMS. The results indicated that the stack was not stratified. The stratification results are presented in Appendix I of this report.

Per EPA Draft Method 30B, three field recovery tests were conducted at each sampling location prior to testing to verify the calibration range of the Ohio Lumex Company, Inc. instrumentation, the sampling rate, and the sampling duration of the EPA Draft 30B sampling equipment. An Analytical Bias Test, a Multipoint Analyzer Calibration, and analysis of independent calibration standards were also performed per the method. Appendix G presents the field recovery results and calibration QA/QC.

Five sets of paired EPA Draft Method 30B Sorbent Tubes were sampled simultaneously at the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack and at the Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct with the Raw Mill On and five sets of paired EPA Draft Method 30B Sorbent Tubes were sampled simultaneously at the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack and at the Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct with the Raw Mill Off. Concurrent with the EPA Draft Method 30B samples during each condition, paired FAMS traps were also sampled simultaneously to provide speciated mercury data.

The Sorbent traps for EPA Draft Method 30B were analyzed on site immediately after testing and the FAMS traps were sent to Frontier GeoSciences, Inc. for analyses per EPA Method 1631 Revision E.

Five tests for stack flow rate, oxygen, and carbon dioxide were conducted at the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack and five tests for oxygen were conducted at the Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct with the Raw Mill On. Five tests for stack flow rate, oxygen, and carbon dioxide were conducted at the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack and five tests for oxygen were conducted at the Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct with the Raw Mill Off. The tests were conducted simultaneously with the EPA Draft Method 30B tests and FAMS tests during each condition. Run Nos. 1-5 were sixty minutes in duration and Run Nos. 6-10 were forty-five minutes in duration. The runs during the Raw Mill Off operating condition were shortened due to the kiln not being able to operate at the desired condition for the length of time required to collect sixty minute samples. Prior to testing, a calibration error test and sampling system bias test were performed on the reference method analyzers at each location.

The in-line raw mill operates roughly 90% of the kiln operating hours. Stack concentrations of mercury ranged from 4.8 µg/DSCM @ 7% O_2 to 7.7 µg/DSCM @ 7% O_2 for mill on operation which corresponds to a mass emission rate of between 3.0 grams/hr and 5.0 grams/hr at the stack volumetric flow rates measured.

The test results for Raw Mill On operation demonstrate that; 1) the scrubber stack concentrations of elemental mercury are greater than the inlet indicating that mercury re-emission is occurring.

The in-line raw mill is off roughly 10% of the kiln operating hours. The raw mill is off during weekly scheduled preventative maintenance and malfunctions. Stack concentrations of mercury compounds ranged from 44.5 µg/DSCM @ 7% O_2 to 55.0

µg/DSCM @ 7% O_2 for mill off operation, which corresponds to a mass emission rate of between 25.3 grams/hr and 31.9 grams/hr at the stack volumetric flow rates measured.

The test results for Raw Mill Off operation demonstrate that; 1) the scrubber inlet concentrations were nearly 40 times higher than with mill on operation, 2) the inlet mercury speciation is predominantly oxidized in nature, 3) >95% of the mercury compounds are removed by the scrubber, and 4) the in-line raw mill is a passive control device for mercury when operating based on comparisons to mill on data.

Billy J. Mullins, Jr. P.E., Q.E.P., D.E.E., QSTI

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Table 1: Summary of Raw Mill On Tests (EPA Methods 1, 2, 3A, and 4) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack

Run No.	1	2	3	4	5	Average
Test Date	10/04/07	10/04/07	10/04/07	10/04/07	10/04/07	-----
Test Time	0755-0855	0935-1035	1121-1221	1303-1403	1447-1547	-----
Flow Rate - DSCFM	379,205	371,447	365,624	367,019	361,302	368,919
Stack Temp. - ˚F	132	134	134	134	134	134
O_2 - % Vol. Dry	14.2	14.2	14.1	14.1	14.2	14.2
CO_2 - % Vol. Dry	11.9	11.9	12.3	12.1	11.8	12.0
Moisute Content - %	16.91	17.40	17.10	17.34	16.81	17.11
Raw Mill	On	On	On	On	On	On

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 2: Summary of Raw Mill On Tests (EPA Method 3A) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct

Run No.	1	2	3	4	5	Average
Test Date	10/04/07	10/04/07	10/04/07	10/04/07	10/04/07	-----
Test Time	0755-0855	0935-1035	1121-1221	1303-1403	1447-1547	-----
O_2 - % Vol. Dry	13.5	13.6	13.4	13.4	13.5	13.5
Raw Mill	On	On	On	On	On	On

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 3: Summary of Raw Mill On Tests (EPA Draft Method 30B) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack

Run No.	Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Vol. (DSCM)	Oxygen (% Vol.)	Total Mercury		
						Total ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2
Mill On Run No. 1	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	0755-0855	0.028 0.030	14.2	94.9 97.8	3.4 3.2	7.0 6.7
Mill On Run No.2	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	0935-1035	0.028 0.031	14.2	102.8 104.8	3.7 3.4	7.7 7.0
Mill On Run No. 3	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	1121-1221	0.028 0.031	14.1	65.3 80.0	2.4 2.6	4.8 5.2
Mill On Run No. 4	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	1303-1403	0.028 0.031	14.1	81.0 93.9	2.9 3.0	6.0 6.2
Mill On Run No. 5	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	1451-1551	0.027 0.031	14.2	95.2 109.5	3.5 3.5	7.3 7.3

Table 4: Summary of Raw Mill On Tests (EPA Draft Method 30B) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct

Run No.	Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Vol. (DSCM)	Oxygen (% Vol.)	Total Mercury		
						Total ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2
Mill On Run No. 1	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	0755-0855	0.028 0.030	13.5	85.0 81.7	3.0 2.8	5.7 5.2
Mill On Run No.2	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	0935-1035	0.028 0.030	13.6	102.1 124.4	3.6 4.1	6.9 7.8
Mill On Run No. 3	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	1121-1221	0.028 0.029	13.4	93.0 109.6	3.4 3.7	6.2 6.9
Mill On Run No. 4	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	1303-1403	0.029 0.031	13.4	124.4 144.4	4.3 4.6	8.1 8.5
Mill On Run No. 5	Train 1 Train 2	10/04/2007	1447-1547	0.027 0.030	13.5	126.1 139.0	4.8 4.7	8.9 8.8

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 5: Summary of Raw Mill On Tests (FAMS Method) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack

Run No.	Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Vol. (DSCM)	Oxygen (% Vol.)	Particulate Mercury			Elemental Mercury			Oxidized Mercury			Total Mercury		
						ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	Total ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2
Mill On Run No. 1	Train 1	10/04/2007	0755-0855	0.019	14.2	0.2	0.01	0.02	44.4	2.30	4.77	0.3	0.02	0.03	44.84	2.33	4.8
	Train 2			0.020		0.3	0.01	0.03	44.3	2.26	4.68	0.5	0.02	0.05	45.08	2.30	4.8
Mill On Run No.2	Train 1	10/04/2007	0935-1035	0.019	14.2	0.3	0.01	0.03	47.5	2.56	5.31	0.1	0.00	0.01	47.88	2.58	5.3
	Train 2			0.019		0.0	0.00	0.00	40.0	2.09	4.33	1.5	0.08	0.16	41.42	2.16	4.5
Mill On Run No. 3	Train 1	10/04/2007	1121-1221	0.019	14.1	0.0	0.00	0.00	31.4	1.68	3.44	0.1	0.01	0.02	31.50	1.69	3.5
	Train 2			0.019		0.0	0.00	0.00	27.7	1.45	2.97	0.3	0.02	0.04	28.00	1.47	3.0
Mill On Run No. 4	Train 1	10/04/2007	1303-1403	0.018	14.1	0.1	0.01	0.01	38.6	2.10	4.29	0.2	0.01	0.02	38.82	2.11	4.3
	Train 2			0.020		0.0	0.00	0.00	34.8	1.78	3.63	0.4	0.02	0.04	35.19	1.80	3.7
Mill On Run No. 5	Train 1	10/04/2007	1447-1547	0.019	14.2	0.0	0.00	0.00	48.1	2.53	5.25	0.2	0.01	0.02	48.32	2.54	5.3
	Train 2			0.020		0.1	0.00	0.01	50.8	2.48	4.25	0.8	0.04	0.07	51.65	2.52	5.2

Table 6: Summary of Raw Mill On Tests (FAMS Method) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct

Run No.	Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Vol. (DSCM)	Oxygen (% Vol.)	Particulate Mercury			Elemental Mercury			Oxidized Mercury			Total Mercury		
						ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	Total ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2
Mill On Run No. 1	Train 1	10/04/2007	0755-0855	0.015	13.5	1.0	0.07	0.13	10.0	0.68	1.27	25.4	1.72	3.23	36.4	2.46	4.6
	Train 2			0.015		0.7	0.05	0.09	10.4	0.67	1.27	24.3	1.58	2.97	35.3	2.30	4.3
Mill On Run No.2	Train 1	10/04/2007	0935-1035	0.015	13.6	0.1	0.01	0.01	15.8	1.09	2.08	28.3	1.95	3.71	44.2	3.05	5.8
	Train 2			0.015		0.3	0.02	0.04	18.0	1.17	2.22	37.4	2.43	4.62	55.7	3.61	6.9
Mill On Run No. 3	Train 1	10/04/2007	1121-1221	0.014	13.4	0.4	0.03	0.05	12.1	0.85	1.57	26.9	1.88	3.48	39.4	2.76	5.1
	Train 2			0.015		0.0	0.00	0.00	13.7	0.88	1.64	33.1	2.13	4.00	46.9	3.01	5.7
Mill On Run No. 4	Train 1	10/04/2007	1303-1403	0.014	13.4	0.2	0.01	0.02	15.2	1.07	1.98	33.3	2.34	4.34	48.6	3.43	6.3
	Train 2			0.015		0.3	0.02	0.04	19.5	1.28	2.37	41.7	2.75	5.09	61.5	4.05	7.5
Mill On Run No. 5	Train 1	10/04/2007	1447-1547	0.013	13.5	0.5	0.04	0.07	14.9	1.17	2.19	32.4	2.54	4.78	47.8	3.75	7.0
	Train 2			0.016		0.4	0.03	0.05	15.9	1.02	1.91	37.0	2.36	4.43	53.3	3.40	6.4

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 7: Summary of Raw Mill Off Tests (EPA Methods 1, 2, 3A, and 4) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack

Run No.	6	7	8	9	10	Average
Test Date	10/05/07	10/05/07	10/05/07	10/05/07	10/05/07	-----
Test Time	0805-0850	0927-1012	1040-1125	1155-1240	1305-1350	-----
Flow Rate - DSCFM	341,636	314,325	318,309	314,014	334,257	324,508
Stack Temp. - °F	135	138	139	139	137	138
O_2 - % Vol. Dry	13.4	12.9	12.8	12.8	13.2	13.0
CO_2 - % Vol. Dry	13.6	14.1	14.3	14.3	13.6	14.0
Moisute Content - %	18.36	19.47	19.34	18.53	17.63	18.67
Raw Mill	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 8: Summary of Raw Mill Off Tests (EPA Method 3A) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct

Run No.	6	7	8	9	10	Average
Test Date	10/05/07	10/05/07	10/05/07	10/05/07	10/05/07	-----
Test Time	0805-0850	0927-1012	1040-1125	1155-1240	1305-1350	-----
O_2 - % Vol. Dry	12.3	11.7	11.5	11.4	12.1	11.8
Raw Mill	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off	Off

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 9: Summary of Raw Mill Off Tests (EPA Draft Method 30B) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack

Run No.	Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Vol. (DSCM)	Oxygen (% Vol.)	Total Mercury		
						Total ng	µg/m^3	µg/m^3 @ 7% O_2
Mill Off Run No. 6	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	0805-0850	0.025 0.027	13.4	632.0 811.5	25.0 29.7	46.4 55.0
Mill Off Run No.7	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	0927-1012	0.025 0.027	12.9	748.0 839.1	29.7 30.7	51.5 53.3
Mill Off Run No.8	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	1040-1125	0.025 0.028	12.8	738.0 843.4	29.5 30.6	50.7 52.4
Mill Off Run No. 9	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	1155-1240	0.025 0.028	12.8	698.9 810.0	28.1 29.1	48.3 50.0
Mill Off Run No. 10	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	1305-1350	0.025 0.028	13.2	614.0 698.1	24.6 24.7	44.5 44.6

Table 10: Summary of Raw Mill Off Tests (EPA Draft Method 30B) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct

Run No.	Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Vol. (DSCM)	Oxygen (% Vol.)	Total Mercury		
						Total ng	µg/m^3	µg/m^3 @ 7% O_2
Mill Off Run No. 6	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	0805-0850	0.024 0.027	12.3	2911.0 3110.0	119.7 116.2	193.5 187.9
Mill Off Run No. 7	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	0927-1012	0.024 0.027	11.7	3277.7 3268.0	135.6 120.5	204.9 182.1
Mill Off Run No. 8	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	1040-1125	0.023 0.026	11.5	2676.7 3485.0	114.9 135.5	169.9 200.4
Mill Off Run No. 9	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	1155-1240	0.023 0.027	11.4	3086.4 3414.9	133.2 128.8	194.8 188.4
Mill Off Run No.10	Train 1 Train 2	10/05/2007	1305-1350	0.023 0.026	12.1	1904.4 2495.7	82.1 94.5	129.7 149.3

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

Table 11: Summary of Raw Mill Off Tests (FAMS Method) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack

Run No.	Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Vol. (DSCM)	Oxygen (% Vol.)	Particulate Mercury			Elemental Mercury			Oxidized Mercury			Total Mercury		
						ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	Total ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2
Mill Off Run No. 6	Train 1	10/05/2007	0805-0850	0.021	13.4	0.8	0.04	0.07	490.1	22.81	42.27	0.7	0.03	0.06	491.56	22.87	42.4
	Train 2			0.020		0.9	0.04	0.08	516.6	26.50	49.10	1.0	0.05	0.09	518.44	26.59	49.3
Mill Off Run No.7	Train 1	10/05/2007	0927-1012	0.021	12.9	0.4	0.02	0.04	486.0	23.37	40.60	0.2	0.01	0.02	486.66	23.40	40.7
	Train 2			0.020		0.8	0.04	0.07	549.9	27.88	48.45	1.2	0.06	0.10	551.89	27.99	48.6
Mill Off Run No. 8	Train 1	10/05/2007	1040-1125	0.020	12.8	0.5	0.03	0.04	468.9	23.61	40.52	1.7	0.08	0.14	471.09	23.72	40.7
	Train 2			0.019		1.0	0.05	0.09	529.8	27.38	46.99	1.0	0.05	0.09	531.78	27.48	47.2
Mill Off Run No. 9	Train 1	10/05/2007	1155-1240	0.020	12.8	0.5	0.03	0.04	463.9	22.94	39.37	1.1	0.05	0.09	465.56	23.02	39.5
	Train 2			0.020		0.7	0.03	0.06	485.0	24.77	42.49	1.8	0.09	0.16	487.51	24.89	42.7
Mill Off Run No. 10	Train 1	10/05/2007	1305-1350	0.021	13.2	0.5	0.02	0.04	428.6	20.73	37.41	1.2	0.06	0.11	430.34	20.81	37.6
	Train 2			0.019		0.7	0.04	0.06	411.3	21.97	39.66	0.5	0.03	0.04	412.51	22.04	39.8

Table 12: Summary of Raw Mill Off Tests (FAMS Method) – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct

Run No.	Sample ID	Date	Time	Sample Vol. (DSCM)	Oxygen (% Vol.)	Particulate Mercury			Elemental Mercury			Oxidized Mercury			Total Mercury		
						ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2	Total ng	µg/m³	µg/m³ @ 7% O_2
Mill Off Run No. 6	Train 1	10/05/2007	0805-0850	0.021	12.3	4.9	0.23	0.37	754.4	35.77	57.82	900.2	42.68	68.99	1,659.5	78.68	127.2
	Train 2			0.021		7.0	0.33	0.53	594.1	27.86	45.04	913.4	42.84	69.25	1,514.5	71.04	114.8
Mill Off Run No.7	Train 1	10/05/2007	0927-1012	0.020	11.7	29.5	1.47	2.21	435.3	21.64	32.69	1,112.3	55.29	83.52	1,577.1	78.38	118.4
	Train 2			0.021		23.5	1.12	1.69	505.1	24.10	36.41	1,034.9	49.38	74.60	1,563.5	74.59	112.7
Mill Off Run No. 8	Train 1	10/05/2007	1040-1125	0.020	11.5	0.0	0.00	0.00	319.8	16.04	23.72	1,166.2	58.48	86.48	1,486.0	74.53	110.2
	Train 2			0.021		9.8	0.47	0.69	330.2	15.76	23.30	1,198.7	57.21	84.61	1,538.7	73.44	108.6
Mill Off Run No. 9	Train 1	10/05/2007	1155-1240	0.021	11.4	8.3	0.39	0.57	318.2	15.03	22.00	1,136.4	53.68	78.54	1,462.9	69.10	101.1
	Train 2			0.020		5.5	0.27	0.39	512.4	25.17	36.82	934.8	45.92	67.18	1,452.6	71.35	104.4
Mill Off Run No. 10	Train 1	10/05/2007	1305-1350	0.021	12.1	10.0	0.48	0.76	346.7	16.69	26.36	813.0	39.14	61.83	1,169.7	56.31	89.0
	Train 2			0.021		8.1	0.38	0.61	381.3	18.04	28.49	757.5	35.84	56.60	1,146.9	54.25	85.7

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

DISCUSSION OF SAMPLING RESULTS

Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack – Raw Mill On

Stratification Test

Prior to testing, a stratification test was performed at the Scrubber Stack. Sulfur dioxide was used as a surrogate for mercury to verify the absence of stratification across the stack. A twelve point traverse was conducted with the reference method analyzer sampling system. The sulfur dioxide content from each traverse point was normalized for temporal variation and compared to a stationary probe, the kiln CEMS. The results indicated that the stack was not stratified. The stratification results are presented in Appendix I of this report.

The stratification tests for sulfur dioxide appeared to be valid representations of the actual emissions during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method analyzer sampling system showed no leaks before or after testing. The calibration error test on the sulfur dioxide analyzer was valid with no variations greater than 0.92% compared to the allowed 2.0% calibration error. The calibration drift test performed at the completion of the test was stable with no variations greater than 2.40% compared to the allowed 3.0% calibration drift. The bias test was valid with no bias results greater than 3.20% compared to the allowed 5.0% system bias.

Flow Rate

The five tests for flow rate appeared to be a valid representation of the actual stack flow rate during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train and pitot tubes showed no leaks before or after testing. The indicative parameters of the tests were in close agreement. The measured moisture contents (%M) were within 1.76% of the mean value. The measured flow rates (DSCFM) were within 2.79% of the mean value.

Oxygen

The five tests for O_2 appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. The calibration error test on the O_2 analyzer was valid with no variations greater than 0.59%, compared to the allowed 2.0% calibration error. The calibration drift tests performed at the completion of each run were stable with no variations greater than 0.44%, compared to the allowed 3.0% calibration drift. The bias tests were valid with no bias results greater than 0.88%, compared to the allowed 5.0% system bias.

The concentrations (% Vol. dry) of O_2 for the five tests showed a range of -0.42 percent to +0.28 percent variation from the mean value of 14.2% Vol. dry. The concentrations were adjusted with equation 7E-5 (40CFR60, Appendix A, Method 7-E).

Carbon Dioxide

The five tests for CO_2 appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. The calibration error test on the CO_2 analyzer was valid with no variations greater than 1.77%, compared to the allowed 2.0% calibration error. The calibration drift tests performed at the completion of each run were stable with no variations greater than 0.65%, compared to the allowed 3.0% calibration drift. The bias tests were valid with no bias results greater than 0.80%, compared to the allowed 5.0% system bias.

The concentrations (% Vol. dry) of CO_2 for the five tests showed a range of -1.67 percent to +2.50 percent variation from the mean value of 12.0% Vol. dry. The concentrations were adjusted with equation 7E-5 (40CFR60, Appendix A, Method 7-E).

Total Mercury (EPA Draft Method 30B)

The five tests for mercury using EPA Draft Method 30B appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train showed no leaks before or after testing. All field

recovery results were well within the EPA Draft Method 30B criteria. Agreement between run pairs was also within method criteria without any signs of mercury breakthrough from section A to B of the traps.

Statistical analysis of the sampling results will not be discussed in this report.

Speciated Mercury (FAMS Method)

The five tests for mercury using the FAMS Method appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train showed no leaks before or after testing. The results demonstrated good agreement between the paired samples. Speciated mercury results demonstrate that the primary species is elemental in nature.

Statistical analysis of the sampling results will not be discussed in this report.

Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct – Raw Mill On

Oxygen

The five tests for O_2 appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. The calibration error test on the O_2 analyzer was valid with no variations greater than 0.63%, compared to the allowed 2.0% calibration error. The calibration drift tests performed at the completion of each run were stable with no variations greater than 0.12%, compared to the allowed 3.0% calibration drift. The bias tests were valid with no bias results greater than 0.28%, compared to the allowed 5.0% system bias.

The concentrations (% Vol. dry) of O_2 for the five tests showed a range of -0.59 percent to +0.89 percent variation from the mean value of 13.5% Vol. dry. The concentrations were adjusted with equation 7E-5 (40CFR60, Appendix A, Method 7-E).

Total Mercury (EPA Draft Method 30B)

The five tests for mercury using EPA Draft Method 30B appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train showed no leaks before or after testing. All field recovery results were well within the EPA Draft Method 30B criteria. Agreement between run pairs was also within method criteria without any signs of mercury breakthrough from section A to B of the traps.

Statistical analysis of the sampling results will not be discussed in this report.

Speciated Mercury (FAMS Method)

The five tests for mercury using the FAMS Method appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train showed no leaks before or after testing. The results demonstrated good agreement between the paired samples. Speciated results demonstrate that the mercury is about 60% oxidized in nature. There is not a 60% reduction across the scrubber due to the fact that some of the collected oxidized mercury converts to elemental mercury in the scrubber and is re-emitted as elemental mercury.

Statistical analysis of the sampling results will not be discussed in this report.

Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack – Raw Mill Off

Flow Rate

The five tests for flow rate appeared to be a valid representation of the actual stack flow rate during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train and pitot tubes showed no leaks before or after testing. The indicative parameters of the tests were in close agreement. The measured moisture contents (%M) were within

5.55% of the mean value. The measured flow rates (DSCFM) were within 5.28% of the mean value.

Oxygen

The five tests for O_2 appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. The calibration error test on the O_2 analyzer was valid with no variations greater than 0.36%, compared to the allowed 2.0% calibration error. The calibration drift tests performed at the completion of each run were stable with no variations greater than 0.44%, compared to the allowed 3.0% calibration drift. The bias tests were valid with no bias results greater than 1.08%, compared to the allowed 5.0% system bias.

The concentrations (% Vol. dry) of O_2 for the five tests showed a range of -1.69 percent to +2.92 percent variation from the mean value of 13.0% Vol. dry. The concentrations were adjusted with equation 7E-5 (40CFR60, Appendix A, Method 7-E).

Carbon Dioxide

The five tests for CO_2 appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. The calibration error test on the CO_2 analyzer was valid with no variations greater than 1.66%, compared to the allowed 2.0% calibration error. The calibration drift tests performed at the completion of each run were stable with no variations greater than 1.05%, compared to the allowed 3.0% calibration drift. The bias tests were valid with no bias results greater than 1.20%, compared to the allowed 5.0% system bias.

The concentrations (% Vol. dry) of CO_2 for the five tests showed a range of -2.72 percent to +2.29 percent variation from the mean value of 14.0% Vol. dry. The concentrations were adjusted with equation 7E-5 (40CFR60, Appendix A, Method 7-E).

Total Mercury (EPA Draft Method 30B)

The five tests for mercury using EPA Draft Method 30B appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train showed no leaks before or after testing. All field recovery results were well within the EPA Draft Method 30B criteria. Agreement between run pairs was also within method criteria without any signs of mercury breakthrough from section A to B of the traps.

Statistical analysis of the sampling results will not be discussed in this report.

Speciated Mercury (FAMS Method)

The five tests for mercury using the FAMS Method appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train showed no leaks before or after testing. The results demonstrated good agreement between the paired samples. Speciated mercury results demonstrate that the primary species is elemental in nature.

Statistical analysis of the sampling results will not be discussed in this report.

Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct – Raw Mill Off

Oxygen

The five tests for O_2 appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. The calibration error test on the O_2 analyzer was valid with no variations greater than 0.40%, compared to the allowed 2.0% calibration error. The calibration drift tests performed at the completion of each run were stable with no variations greater than 0.12%, compared to the allowed 3.0% calibration drift. The bias tests were valid with no bias results greater than 0.48%, compared to the allowed 5.0% system bias.

The concentrations (% Vol. dry) of O_2 for the five tests showed a range of -3.39 percent to +4.24 percent variation from the mean value of 11.8% Vol. dry. The concentrations were adjusted with equation 7E-5 (40CFR60, Appendix A, Method 7-E).

Total Mercury (EPA Draft Method 30B)

The five tests for mercury using EPA Draft Method 30B appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train showed no leaks before or after testing. All field recovery results were well within the EPA Draft Method 30B criteria. Agreement between run pairs was also within method criteria without any signs of mercury breakthrough from section A to B of the traps.

Statistical analysis of the sampling results will not be discussed in this report.

Speciated Mercury (FAMS Method)

The five tests for mercury using the FAMS Method appeared to be a valid representation of the actual emissions during the tests. All leak checks performed on the reference method sampling train showed no leaks before or after testing. The results demonstrated good agreement between the paired samples. Speciated mercury results demonstrate that mercury is about 60% oxidized in nature.

Statistical analysis of the sampling results will not be discussed in this report.

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING LOCATION

The sampling ports on the Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack are located approximately 250 feet above the ground. The sampling ports are located 103 feet (7.68 stack diameters) downstream from the inlet to the stack and 77 feet (5.74 stack diameters) upstream from the outlet of the stack.

The sampling ports on the Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct are located approximately 28 feet above the ground. The sampling ports are located 9 feet 7 inches (0.80 equivalent duct diameters) downstream from a bend in the duct and 5 feet 10 inches (0.49 equivalent duct diameters) upstream from a bend in the duct.

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING LOCATION

Figure 1: Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct and Stack



AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

The sampling followed the procedures set forth in 40CFR60, Appendix A, Test Methods 1, 2, 3A, 4, and 6C; EPA Draft Method 30B; and Flue Gas Adsorbent Mercury Speciation (FAMS).

Flow Rate

The stack velocity was determined according to EPA Methods 1 and 2. A preliminary velocity traverse was made at each of two ports on the stack in order to determine the uniformity and magnitude of the flow prior to testing. All traverse points were checked for cyclonic flow and the average angle of cyclonic flow was 0 degrees. Six traverse points were sampled from each of two ports for a total of twelve velocity traverse points.

The pitot tube lines were checked for leaks before and after each test under a vacuum and a pressure. The lines were also checked for clearance and the manometer was zeroed before each test.

The stack moisture samples were taken according to EPA Method 4. Samples of sixty minute duration were taken at a single traverse point (Port B, Point No. 3) during the Recovery Tests and Run Nos. 1-5. Samples of forty-five minute duration were taken at a single traverse point (Port B, Point No. 3) during Run Nos. 6-10. Data was recorded at five minute intervals.

The moisture sampling train was leak checked at the end of the sampling probe at fifteen inches of mercury vacuum before each test, and again at the conclusion of each test at the highest vacuum recorded during sampling. This was done to predetermine the possibility of a diluted sample.

The ‘front-half’ of the moisture sampling train contained the following components:

Heated Glass lined probe@ 248°F ± 25°F
Heated Teflon line @ 248°F ± 25°F

The ‘back-half’ of the moisture sampling train contained the following components:

Table 13: Reference Method 4 Sampling Train

Impinger No.	Impinger Type	Impinger Contents	Amount	Parameter Collected
1	Modified	D.I.Water	100 ml	H_2O
2	Greenburg-Smith	D.I.Water	100 ml	H_2O
3	Modified	Empty	-----	H_2O
4	Modified	Silica Gel	250 g	H_2O

Figure 2: EPA Methods 1, 2, 3, and 4 Sampling Train



Sulfur Dioxide

The sulfur dioxide sampling during the stratification test was performed according to EPA Method 6C. A Western Research Series 900 sulfur dioxide analyzer (serial no. AC-921-9440-1) was used to monitor the concentrations of sulfur dioxide during each run. The reference method analyzer was operated at a range of 0 to 100 ppm. A multi-point calibration was performed on the reference method analyzer prior to testing. After each run, the zero and calibration drift of the reference method analyzer was checked. The calibration gases were as follows:

Zero Gas
45.9 ppm Sulfur Dioxide in Nitrogen (ALM064315)
90.5 ppm Sulfur Dioxide in Nitrogen (ALM006163)

EPA Protocol Gas Certificates of Analysis for the calibration gases are included in Appendix F.

The reference method sampling system consisted of a heated stainless steel probe, a moisture removal system, and a Teflon sample line. Calibration gases for the bias and drift checks were introduced at the outlet of the heated stainless steel probe. Samples of two minute duration were taken at each of twelve traverse points. The reference method analyzer sampling system was leak-checked at the end of the sampling probe at fifteen inches of mercury vacuum prior to and at the conclusion of testing.

Oxygen

Oxygen sampling was performed according to EPA Method 3A. A Servomex Model 1440D oxygen analyzer (serial no. 1420D/3279) was used to monitor the concentrations of oxygen at the Scrubber Stack during each run. A M&C Model PMA100 oxygen analyzer (serial no. 0502218) was used to monitor the concentrations of oxygen at the Scrubber Inlet Duct during each run. The reference method analyzers were operated at ranges of 0 to 25%. A multi-point calibration was performed on the reference method analyzers prior to testing. After each run, the zero and calibration drift of the reference method analyzers were checked. The calibration gases were as follows:

Zero Gas
12.20% Oxygen in Nitrogen (ALM021252)
22.30% Oxygen in Nitrogen (ALM002541)

EPA Protocol Gas Certificates of Analysis for the calibration gases are included in Appendix F.

The reference method sampling system at the Scrubber Stack consisted of a heated stainless steel probe, a moisture removal system, and a Teflon sample line. The reference method sampling system at the Scrubber Inlet Duct consisted of a heated stainless steel probe, a heated Teflon line, and a moisture removal system. Calibration gases for the bias and drift checks were introduced at the outlet of each sampling probe. Samples of sixty minute duration were taken at a single traverse point (Port D, Point No. 3 at the stack and Port C, Centroid Point at the inlet duct) during the Recovery Tests and Run Nos. 1-5. Samples of forty-five minute duration were taken at a single traverse point (Port D, Point No. 3 at the stack and Port C Centroid Point at the inlet duct) during Run Nos. 6-10. The reference method analyzers sampling systems were leak-checked at the end of the sampling probes at fifteen inches of mercury vacuum prior to and at the conclusion of testing.

AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

Carbon Dioxide

Carbon Dioxide sampling was performed according to EPA Method 3A. A Servomex Model 1440D carbon dioxide analyzer (serial no. 1415D/3279) was used to monitor the concentrations of carbon dioxide at the Scrubber Stack during each run. The reference method analyzer was operated at a range of 0 to 20%. A multi-point calibration was performed on the reference method analyzer prior to testing. After each run, the zero and calibration drift of the reference method analyzer was checked. The calibration gases were as follows:

Zero Gas
10.10% Carbon Dioxide in Nitrogen (ALM021252)
18.10% Carbon Dioxide in Nitrogen (ALM002541)

EPA Protocol Gas Certificates of Analysis for the calibration gases are included in Appendix F.

The reference method sampling system at the Scrubber Stack consisted of a heated stainless steel probe, a moisture removal system, and a Teflon sample line. Calibration gases for the bias and drift checks were introduced at the outlet of the heated stainless steel probe. Samples of sixty minute duration were taken at a single traverse point (Port D, Point No. 3) during the Recovery Tests and Run Nos. 1-5. Samples of forty-five minute duration were taken at a single traverse point (Port D, Point No. 3) during Run Nos. 6-10. The reference method analyzer sampling system was leak-checked at the end of the sampling probe at fifteen inches of mercury vacuum prior to and at the conclusion of testing.

Figure 3: EPA Method 3A and 6C Sampling System – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Stack



Figure 4: EPA Method 3A Sampling System – Kiln No. 2 Scrubber Inlet Duct



AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

Total Mercury

Total mercury sampling was performed according to EPA Draft Method 30B. Prior to sampling, an Analytical Bias Test, a Multipoint Analyzer Calibration, and analysis of independent calibration standards were performed on the Ohio Lumex Company, Inc. analyzer. Calibration checks were periodically analyzed in the field per the method. Three paired field recovery samples were collected simultaneously at the Scrubber Stack and at the Scrubber Inlet Duct at a sampling rate of approximately 0.500 liters per minute for sixty minutes. Each pair consisted of a spiked Sorbent trap and an un-spiked Sorbent trap. The Sorbent traps were analyzed on site to determine the recovery of the spike and to determine if break through to the second section of each Sorbent trap had occurred. The recovery tests also verified that the sample rate and the sample time were sufficient to provide results within the calibration range of the analytical instrumentation.

During the Raw Mill On operating condition, five paired samples were collected simultaneously at the Scrubber Stack and at the Scrubber Inlet Duct at a sampling rate of approximately 0.500 liters per minute for sixty minutes. During the Raw Mill Off operating condition, five paired samples were collected simultaneously at the Scrubber Stack and at the Scrubber Inlet Duct at a sampling rate of approximately 0.600 liters per minute for forty-five minutes.

Samples were taken at a single traverse point (Port C, Point No. 3 at the stack and Port F Centroid Point at the inlet duct) during Run Nos. 1-5. Samples of forty-five minute duration were taken at a single traverse point (Port C, Point No. 3 at the stack and Port F Centroid Point at the inlet duct) during Run Nos. 6-10.

The Sorbent traps were leak checked at fifteen inches of mercury vacuum before each test, and again at the conclusion of each test. This was done to predetermine the possibility of a diluted sample.

The sampling train contained the following components:

Sorbent trap heater @ 350°F
Heated Stainless steel probe @ 350°F
Air cooled condenser system @ ambient temperature

At the conclusion of the tests, the samples were recovered and analyzed on site. The Ohio Lumex Company, Inc. analyzer was calibrated and each sample trap was analyzed and checked for break through to the second section of the trap. The Relative Deviation for each pair of Sorbent traps at each sampling location was also determined.

Figure 5: EPA Draft Method 30B Sampling System



Flue Gas Adsorbent Mercury Speciation (FAMS)

Speciated mercury sampling was performed according to the Frontier GeoSciences, Inc. Procedure, Flue Gas Adsorbent Mercury Speciation (FAMS). A copy of the procedure is included in Appendix H of this report.

The FAMS trains utilized two Sorbent traps per run at each location. The samples ran for sixty minutes during Run Nos. 1-5 at a sampling rate of approximately 0.250 liters per minute, and for forty-five minutes during Run Nos. 6-10 at a sampling rate of approximately 0.400 liters per minute. The Sorbent traps were leak checked before and after each run. The traps were located outside of the stack (within the probe) and maintained at a temperature of 177˚C.

The samples on the Scrubber Stack were taken from a single point (Port A, Point No. 3). The samples on the Scrubber Inlet Duct were taken from a single point (Port G Centroid Point).

At the conclusion of each test run, the Sorbent traps were recovered and shipped to Frontier GeoSciences, Inc. for analysis.

Figure 6: FAMS Sampling System



AIR SAMPLING ASSOCIATES, INC.

TEST NARRATIVE

Personnel from Air Sampling Associates, Inc. arrived at the Holcim (Texas) LP – Midlothian Plant, located in Midlothian, Texas at 12:00 p.m. on Monday, October 1, 2007. The sampling trailer was parked near the Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct on Kiln No. 2 and power was supplied to the reference method analyzers. After a brief safety orientation, the sampling equipment was set-up on the Kiln No. 2 Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct and the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack. Preliminary measurements were made and the equipment was secured. Personnel departed the plant at 5:15 p.m.

No testing was performed on Tuesday, October 2, 2007 due to the kiln not operating.

On Wednesday, October 3, 2007, personnel returned to the plant at 10:45 a.m. The reference method analyzers were calibrated and the sampling equipment was prepared for testing. A stratification test was conducted at the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack. The first Recovery Test for EPA Draft Method 30B at the Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct began at 3:42 p.m. and was completed at 4:42 p.m. The first Recovery Test for EPA Draft Method 30B at the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack began at 5:00 p.m. and was completed at 6:00 p.m. The second and third Recovery Tests for EPA Draft Method 30B were taken simultaneously. The second simultaneous tests began at 6:35 p.m. Testing continued until the completion of the third simultaneous test at 9:17 p.m. The Sorbent tubes were recovered and analyzed. The sampling equipment was secured and personnel departed the plant at 10:00 p.m.

On Thursday, October 4, 2007, personnel returned to the plant at 7:00 a.m. The reference method analyzers were calibrated and the sampling equipment was prepared for testing. The first simultaneous test (Run No. 1) for mercury and oxygen at the Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct and the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack during

the Mill On test condition began at 7:55 a.m. Testing continued until the completion of the fifth test (Run No. 5) at 3:51 p.m. The first test (Run No. 1) for flow rate at the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack during the Mill On test condition began at 7:55 a.m. Testing continued until the completion of the fifth test (Run No. 5) at 3:47 p.m. The Sorbent tubes were recovered and analyzed. The sampling equipment was secured and personnel departed the plant at 5:00 p.m.

On Friday, October 5, 2007, personnel returned to the plant at 7:00 a.m. The reference method analyzers were calibrated and the sampling equipment was prepared for testing. The first simultaneous test (Run No. 6) for mercury and oxygen at the Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct and the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack during the Mill Off test condition began at 8:05 a.m. Testing continued until the completion of the fifth simultaneous test (Run No. 10) at 1:50 p.m. The first test (Run No. 6) for flow rate at the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack during the Mill Off test condition began at 8:05 a.m. Testing continued until the completion of the fifth test (Run No. 10) at 1:50 p.m.

The Sorbent tubes were recovered and analyzed. The sampling equipment was moved off of the Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct and the Desulphurization Scrubber Stack and loaded into the sampling trailer. The FAMS tubes were shipped to Frontier GeoSciences, Inc. for analysis. The data was taken to Air Sampling Associates, Inc.'s office in Lewisville, Texas for further review.

Operations at the Holcim (Texas) LP – Midlothian Plant, Kiln No. 2 Desulphurization Scrubber Inlet Duct and Desulphurization Scrubber Stack located in Midlothian, Texas, were completed at 3:45 p.m., on Friday, October 5, 2007.